



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,381	08/20/2004	On Bon Peter Chan	MTUZ 2 00016	3788
7590 James W McKee Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee 1100 Superior Avenue 7th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518		01/31/2007	EXAMINER FIDLER, SHELBY LEE	
			ART UNIT 2861	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/505,381	CHAN, ON BON PETER
	Examiner Shelby Fidler	Art Unit 2861

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-12 and 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 13 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 12/29/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 6-12 and 15-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2861

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cook (US 6155664).

Regarding claim 1:

Cook discloses an intelligent ink cartridge (ink cartridge 8; Fig. 7) comprising:
at least one ink chamber (ink reservoir 10) for storing ink therein (col. 5, lines 50-53);
an electronics module (processor 35; Fig. 7) adapted to store identification information data of the ink cartridge (col. 7, lines 5-16) and ink remaining data (col. 10, line 63 – col. 11, line 4), wherein

the electronics module is a CSIC micro-controller (35) with embedded non-volatile memory (EEPROM 15; col. 16, lines 49-52) storing a program executable to control access and processing of ink remaining data in the ink cartridge to improve the maximum of ink volume of the ink cartridge (col. 16, lines 52-60).

Regarding claim 2:

Cook also discloses that the non-volatile memory is an EEPROM (15; col. 16, lines 49-52).

Regarding claim 4:

Cook also discloses a microcontroller that includes:
an ALU (microprocessor 35) connected with a data bus (inherent to TMP47E186M described in col. 16, lines 49-52), an EEPROM memory (EEPROM 15) for storing the identification information data of the ink cartridge (col. 7, lines 5-16) and the ink remaining data

Art Unit: 2861

(col. 10, line 63 - col. 11, line 4), plural registers, an interrupt unit, a timer, an analog comparator, an I/O interface, and a program memory connected to the ALU by the register (these limitations are inherent to part TMP47E186M, as made evident by pages 53-55 of Toshiba's Microcomputer Product Guide) for storing a program controlling reading and writing operations and calculation of ink remaining data (col. 16, lines 49-65).

Regarding claim 13:

Cook discloses an electronics module (e.g. TMP47E186M; col. 16, lines 49-52) of an intelligent ink cartridge (col. 16, lines 45-52) for use with an associated ink jet printer apparatus, the electronics module storing identification information of the ink cartridge (col. 7, lines 5-16) and ink remaining data (col. 10, line 63 - col. 11, line 4), wherein, the electronics module is a micro-controller (col. 16, lines 49-52) with embedded non-volatile memory (EEPROM 15) storing a program executable to control access and process operations of the ink remaining data in the ink cartridge for improving the maximum ink capacity utilization of the ink cartridge (col. 16, lines 52-65).

Regarding claim 14:

Cook also discloses that the non-volatile memory (15) is the micro-controller stores the identification information of the ink cartridge (col. 9, lines 45-47) and the program for controlling access and process operations of ink remaining data is stored in a ROM memory (col. 16, lines 60-63), so as to meet the requirement of controlling and reading/writing ink remaining data by the ink jet apparatus when the program is carried out and ink capacity of the ink cartridge is improved (col. 16, lines 65-67).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook (US 6155664) in view of Wakabayashi et al. (US 5504669).

Regarding claim 3:

Cook discloses all claimed limitations except that the micro-controller is an 8-bit CMOS RISC microcontroller.

However, Wakabayashi et al. disclose a printer cartridge (cartridge 3; Fig. 3) with a CMOS microcontroller (CPU 71) with RISC architecture (col. 12, lines 14-17).

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize an 8-bit CMOS RISC processesor into Cook's invention. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Wakabayashi et al., is to provide a processor suitable for processing page description languages (col. 11, lines 14-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 5 contains allowable subject matter since the prior art of record does not teach an ink cartridge comprising a R-C control circuit defining a preselected time constant value, used

to distinguish a checking read cycle of the cartridge and a normal read cycle of the cartridge,
wherein the R-C control circuit is connected to the input interface of the microcontroller in
combination with other features and limitations of claim 5.

Communication with the USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelby Fidler whose telephone number is (571) 272-8455. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shelby 2. Fidler 1/24/2007

Shelby Fidler
Patent Examiner
AU 2861


STEPHEN MEIER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER